



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 7448 09/810,983 03/16/2001 Ronald N. Perry EXAMINER 11/24/2004 7590 Patent Department NGUYEN, KIMBINH T Mitsubishi Electric Research Laboratories, Inc. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 201 Broadway Cambridge, MA 02139 2671

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/810,983	PERRY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kimbinh T. Nguyen	2671
The MAILING DATE of this communication		correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day idd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	6 March 2001.	
,	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat priority documents have been receiv reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		· (DTO 413)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) 🔲 Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail 🗓	oate ·
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/16/04</u> .	·	Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/810,983

Art Unit: 2671

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-12 are pending in the application.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No.6,724,393 (Perry et al.). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1 of the application including the claimed elements of the patent claim 1 for generating an adaptively sampled distance field: "defining a candidate cell of the adaptively sampled distance field; determining and storing distance values of the candidate cell in a bounded distance tree; recursively subdividing the candidate cell into subdivided cells of the adaptively sampled distance field while determining and storing corresponding distance values of the subdivided cells in the

Application/Control Number: 09/810,983

Art Unit: 2671

bounded distance tree until a termination condition is reached, and appending the distance values to the corresponding cells to generate the adaptively sampled distance field of the object." The application claim 1 and the step of generating an adaptively sample distance field from the model of the patent claim 1 noted above are equivalently the same scope and content except for the steps which does not claim in the application claim: "setting editing parameters; providing a model of the object; generating an adaptively sample distance field interactively; converting the manipulated adaptively sampled distance field". The difference between the application claim 1 and the patent claim 1 is an obviousness variation in the art, because the main step for editing a graphics object is generating a sampled distance field. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the step of generating an adaptively sampled distance field for interactively editing a graphics object for editing a graphics object, because the use of adaptive sampling, it would permit high sampling rates in regions of fine detail, and row sampling rates where the distance field varies smoothly. Thus ADFs enable high accuracy without excessive memory requirements (col. 4, lines 3-9).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimbinh T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-9683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 AM to 4:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday from 7:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/810,983

Art Unit: 2671

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Zimmerman, can be reached at (703) 305-9798. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

November 19, 2004

Kimbinh Nguyen

Patent Examiner AU 2671

Kreupours NZmben